

12. INTERGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION ELEMENT

USFSP has historically enjoyed a close working relationship with the City of St. Petersburg and other governmental entities due to its strategic role in the City's economy and its collaborative functional linkages with other area institutions and agencies. The University has complied with coordination requirements in the effectuation of the Campus Development Agreement and will continue to pursue policies set forth in the 1995 plan for continuing intergovernmental coordination.

Goal

To achieve the goals, objectives and policies of the campus master plan through the use of joint processes for collaborative planning, decision making, and coordinating growth and development with local agencies and governmental entities.

Summary of Objectives and Policies

Objective 12.1. To establish a process for the reciprocal review by University and local government officials of growth management plans, campus master plans, and plan amendments.

Policy 12.1.1. The USFSP shall continue meetings with City and County planning officials for the purpose of negotiating the appropriate terms and conditions of the reciprocal review process. Every effort will be made to formalize the terms and conditions of the reciprocal plan review process through an interlocal agreement or memorandum of understanding.

Policy 12.1.2. It shall be the policy of USFSP that proposed amendments to local government comprehensive plans which have the effect of changing land uses or policies that guide the development of land within the designated context area surrounding the campus, affect the provision of local services, or which otherwise impact on USFSP facilities and resources, should be submitted to USFSP for review.

Policy 12.1.3. Proposed amendments to the adopted campus master plan, which exceed the thresholds established in s. 1013.30 F.S., shall be transmitted to the appropriate local, regional and state agencies for review in accordance with the procedures established in Chapter 6C-21, Part I, Florida Administrative Code. Proposed amendments to the adopted campus master plan which do not exceed the thresholds established in s. s. 1013.30 F.S., and which have the

effect of changing land use designations or classifications, or impacting public facilities, services, or natural resources, shall be transmitted to the host and affected local governments for a courtesy review.

Policy 12.1.4. USFSP planning officials shall meet with officials from City and County on a regular (at least annually) basis, or as required for the purpose of coordinating planning activities. Other local, regional, state and federal agencies shall be invited to participate in these meetings as appropriate.

Objective 12.2. To establish a reciprocal development review process to assess the impacts of proposed campus development on significant local, regional and state resources and facilities, and to assess the impacts of off-campus development of university resources and facilities.

Policy 12.2.1. It shall be the policy of USFSP that proposed development within the context area which has the potential to impact or affect USFSP facilities and resources shall be transmitted to USFSP for review.

Policy 12.2.2. USFSP shall meet with City and County officials to establish the criteria and thresholds for development proposals which would be subject to review by USFSP. It is the intent of this policy to establish in the form of an interlocal agreement or memorandum of understanding mutually agreed upon thresholds for review which would allow both USFSP and host and affected local governments to review significant development proposals within the context area. Established thresholds for review will allow for exceptions to the review process for development proposals which are mutually agreed to be not significant.

Policy 12.2.3. Upon receipt of an application for a development order proposed for the context area, USFSP shall assess the potential impacts of the proposed development on University facilities and resources. Findings shall be remitted in writing to the appropriate local government.

Policy 12.2.4. When it has been determined that proposed development on campus would have an adverse impact on local services, facilities or natural resources, USFSP officials will participate and cooperate with City

and County officials in the identification of appropriate strategies to mitigate the impacts.

Policy 12.2.5. : When it has been determined that proposed development within the designated context area would have an adverse impact on University facilities and resources, USFSP officials will participate and cooperate with City and County officials in the identification of appropriate strategies to mitigate the impacts on campus facilities and resources.

Policy 12.2.6. : Any dispute between USFSP and any host or affected local government regarding the assessment or mitigation of impacts shall be resolved in accordance with the process established in s. 1013.30 F.S.

Policy 12.2.7. Once the Campus Development Agreement has been executed, all campus development may proceed without further local government involvement if it is consistent with the development agreement and the adopted master plan. This agreement must:

- Identify geographic area covered by the agreement;
- Establish duration of the agreement (5 - 10 years);
- Identify LOS standards for public services and facilities, the entity to provide these services and facilities, and any financial arrangements between the Board of Regents and the service provider;
- Determine impact of proposed campus development on identified public services and facilities, and any deficiencies likely to occur as a result;
- Identify facility improvements to correct deficiencies;
- Identify the Florida Board of Education's "fair share" of the costs of needed improvements; and
- Be consistent with adopted campus master plan and host local government comprehensive plan.

- Policy 12.2.8. Once the Florida Board of Education pays its "fair share" for capital improvements, as identified in the campus development agreement, all concurrency management responsibilities of the university and Board of Regents are deemed to be fulfilled.
- Policy 12.2.9. Any dispute between USFSP and host local government, which arises from the implementation of the campus development agreement shall be resolved in accordance with the process established in s. 1013.30 F.S.
- Objective 12.3. To increase on-going coordination between USFSP and public agencies to create a better campus, community and environment.
- Policy 12.3.1. USFSP officials shall work closely with planning officials from the city and county to continue the reciprocal review and develop comprehensive plans and plan amendments.
- Policy 12.3.2. USFSP and the governmental agencies listed should continue to coordinate efforts in support of improving the quality of stormwater and coastal management. USFSP officials shall continue to attend educational seminars offered by the listed agencies.
- Policy 12.3.3. USFSP shall work closely with city governmental agencies to promote opportunities for pedestrian and non-vehicular open space.
- Policy 12.3.4. USFSP shall coordinate with the city departments to secure the internal street closures enabling these arteries to be reduced to low intensity access drives and pedestrian paths as well as to provide pedestrian/bicycles to other facilities, neighborhoods, and areas in the city. USFSP shall follow the City's established review process for requests to vacate the rights of way on these streets.
- Policy 12.3.5. USFSP shall continue to investigate possible locations for off-site parking lots, including leasing opportunities and land acquisition for new parking facilities. USFSP and PSTA should continue to work together to promote ridership by disseminating information at registration, through target mailings, and at appropriate locations and events on and off-campus.

- Policy 12.3.6. USFSP should continue to utilize the city's water, sanitary sewer, and solid waste systems. USFSP officials shall coordinate master plan growth projections with city agencies to ensure adequate capacity will be available. USFSP shall pursue interlocal agreements and memoranda of understanding as needed to ensure the provision of potable water consistent with established levels of service.
- Policy 12.3.7. USFSP is within the city service area and has experienced effective and efficient provision of fire, rescue, and emergency medical services. Existing systems should remain in effect.
- Policy 12.3.8. All plans will continue to be reviewed by the State Fire Marshall in accordance with the current SUS Standard Practice, Professional Services Guide.
- Policy 12.3.9. USFSP and governmental agencies should continue to coordinate and provide the necessary training and updated information for the use of USFSP resources in emergency operations.